

City Hall, Lodi, Cal., August 25, 1919.

The Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi convened in regular adjourned session at 3 o'clock p. m., there being present Trustees Black, Hale, Crose and Montgomery. Absent Trustee Morehead.

Minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

A permit was granted to S. Wade to remodel a dwelling at 221 Flora Street, Barnhart Tract.

ORDINANCE NO. 107,

An ordinance granting to Setchell Fruit Company permission to extend a spur track, having been introduced August 13th and laid on the table for not less than five days, was given second reading and adopted by the following resolution::

RESOLUTION FOR PUBLICATION AND PASSAGE OF ORDINANCE.

In the matter of the application of SETCHELL FRUIT COMPANY (a corporation), for a Spur Track.

SETCHELL FRUIT COMPANY, a Corporation, having on the 13th day of August, 1919, filed its petition with this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, County of San Joaquin, State of California, praying for an ordinance granting to it, its successors and assigns, the right, privilege and permission to construct, lay down and maintain a railroad track of standard gauge, and to pass with and operate, with locomotives and cars, propelled by electricity or other lawful motive power (except steam power) over, along, upon and across certain streets, portions of streets, and other places in the City of Lodi, County of San Joaquin, State of California, and on the same day the said SETCHELL FRUIT COMPANY, a Corporation, having presented to, and filed with, this, the Board of Trustees of the said City of Lodi, an ordinance in accordance with the prayer of the said petition, and on said 13th day of August, 1919, by resolution of this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, duly and regularly passed and adopted, the hearing of the said petition, and the said ordinance was duly and regularly fixed for Monday, the 25th day of August, 1919, at the hour of 3 o'clock p.m., of said day, at the chambers of this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, in the City Hall of the City of Lodi, and on said 25th day of August, 1919, at the hour of 3 o'clock p.m. of said day at the Chambers of this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, more than Five (5) days having elapsed and passed since the introduction and filing of said ordinance, as aforesaid, this, the Board of Trustees proceeded to the hearing of the aforesaid ordinance, and at said time and place, no protests and objections to the granting of said ordinance having been made or filed, this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, proceeded to the hearing of the said petition, and the aforesaid ordinance, and after having duly considered the aforesaid petition, and the aforesaid ordinance, which is ordinance No. 107, and it appearing to the satisfaction of this Board of Trustees that the said ordinance is just, proper and legal, and that the said petitioner is entitled to have the said ordinance granted, and that it is for the best interests of the City of Lodi, that said ordinance be granted;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED: That the aforesaid ordinance No. 107 be, and the same hereby is, ordered to be published one time in The Lodi Sentinel, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Lodi, County of San Joaquin, State of California, and that said ordinance shall take effect upon the completion of said publication, and upon being signed and approved by the President of this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, who is the executive of said City of Lodi.

Dated: Lodi, California, this 25th day of August, 1919.

The foregoing resolution was upon motion of Trustees Montgomery, seconded by Trustee Hale, adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Trustees Montgomery, Hale, Crose and Black.

Noes: None.

Absent: Morehead.

Ayes: Trustees Montgomery, Hale, Crose, Morehead and Black.  
Noes: None.  
Absent: None.

In response to Notice Inviting Sealed Proposals in the matter of the improvement of Walnut Street, in the City of Lodi, which notice was duly posted and published according to law, bids were received from Clark & Henery Construction Company and Federal Construction Company. After canvassing the bids the following resolution was introduced by Trustee Crose:

RESOLUTION OF AWARD.

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, did, in open session, on the 2nd day of September, A. D. 1919, publicly open, examine and declare all sealed proposals or bids for doing certain street work in said City, under and in pursuance of the "Improvement Act of 1911," the names of the streets and highways proposed to be improved and the location and character of said proposed work being described in the Resolution of Intention No. 24, of said Board of Trustees, adopted August 21st, A.D. 1919, which Resolution of Intention is hereby expressly referred to for further particulars.

RESOLVED, that the said Board of Trustees hereby reject all of said proposals or bids except that next herein mentioned, and hereby award the contract for doing said work and improvement to the lowest responsible bidder, to-wit: CLARK & HENERY CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, at the prices named in its bid.

The City Clerk is hereby directed to post Notice of this award conspicuously for five days, on or near the Council Chamber door of this Board of Trustees and also publish said notice three times in "The Lodi Sentinel," a tri-weekly newspaper published and circulated in said City, and hereby designated for that purpose by said Board, said newspaper being the official newspaper of said City of Lodi.

Upon motion of Trustee Crose, seconded by Trustee Montgomery, the foregoing resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Trustees Crose, Montgomery, Hale, Morehead and Black.  
Noes: None.  
Absent: None.

In the matter of the application of Satchel Fruit Company, a corporation, for a spur track, the following resolution was introduced by Trustee Hale:

RESOLUTION FINDING DUE PUBLICATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 107.

RESOLVED: That it appearing to the satisfaction of this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, County of San Joaquin, State of California, by the affidavit of C. E. Percival, the principal Clerk of the printer and publisher of The Lodi Sentinel, that Ordinance No. 107 has been printed and published in The Lodi Sentinel, which is a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published tri-weekly, in the City of Lodi, County of San Joaquin, State of California, for one time, and in the manner required by law, in accordance with the Resolution of this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, which said resolution was duly passed and adopted at a duly and regular adjourned regular meeting of this Board of Trustees, held on the 25th day of August, 1919.;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, County of San Joaquin, State of California, does find, and it is hereby found as a fact, that Ordinance No. 107, has been published for the time, and in the manner required by law;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That said Ordinance No. 107 having been finally passed by this, the Board of Trustees of the said City of Lodi, be, and the same hereby is, finally passed and approved by this, the Board of Trustees of the City of Lodi, and the President of this Board of Trustees be, and he hereby is, ordered and directed to approve the same.

Dated the 2nd day of September, 1919.

The foregoing resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Trustees Hale, Morehead, Montgomery, Crose and Black.  
Noes: None.  
Absent: None.

It is further ordered, and notice is hereby given, that serial bonds to represent unpaid assessments, and bear interest at seven per cent (7%) per annum will be issued hereunder or in the manner provided by the Improvement Bond Act of 1915, and Acts amendatory thereto, the last instalment of which bonds shall mature nine (9) years from the 2nd day of July, next succeeding nine (9) months from their date.

Miscellaneous bills amounting to \$197.55, having been passed by the auditing committee, were allowed and ordered paid.

No further business appearing the Board adjourned.

Attest:

H. S. Clark  
City Clerk.